

**Characterization of 2,2'-Bi-(1,4,8,11-tetra-azacyclotetradecane):
X-Ray Structure and Properties of the Dinuclear Complex
[Ni₂(C₂₀H₄₆N₈)](ClO₄)₄**

By E. KENT BAREFIELD,* DUNCAN CHUENG, and DONALD G. VAN DERVEER
(School of Chemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332)

and FRANK WAGNER

(Strem Chemicals, Inc., Newburyport, Massachusetts 01950)

Summary 2,2'-Bi-(1,4,8,11-tetra-azacyclotetradecane) has been isolated as a minor product from the nickel(II)-assisted synthesis of 1,4,8,11-tetra-azacyclotetradecane

(cyclam) and its structure confirmed by X-ray structural analysis of the dinuclear complex [Ni₂(C₂₀H₄₆N₈)](ClO₄)₄.

for 238 variables is 0.056. In the final cycles of least-squares refinement hydrogen atoms were included in their calculated positions with isotropic thermal parameters; all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. § An ORTEP drawing of the cation is shown in the Figure. ¶ The cyclam moieties are connected by a 2,2'-equatorial-equatorial linkage with a normal carbon-carbon distance of 1.542(9) Å. Nickel-nitrogen distances average 1.95(1) Å, which is in the range expected for low-spin planar Ni^{II}-macrocyclic amine distances. Both halves of the complex have the lowest energy, *trans* III,⁴ set of donor atom configurations.

A reasonable mechanism for formation of this cation during the cyclization reaction is shown in the Scheme. In support of this sequence we note that ionization of methylene group protons adjacent to an imine in a five-membered ring is well documented⁶ as is addition of nucleophiles to co-ordinated imine functions.^{3,7} Reductions of co-ordinated α -di-imine functions to mono-imines also have been achieved using hypo-phosphoric acid⁸ although no information concerning the mechanism of catalytic reduction is available.

Cyclic voltammetry on the dinuclear complex shows that it undergoes two, quasi-reversible, one-electron oxidations in 0.1 M [Buⁿ₄N][BF₄]-acetonitrile at about 0.78 and

0.85 V vs the 0.1 M Ag⁺/Ag electrode. This separation is larger than the 36 mV separation expected on a statistical basis;⁹ since there is no electronic delocalization, this increased separation is probably a result of electrostatic effects that are expected to favour the 2 [2,3] distribution over the [2,2] + [3,3].¹⁰ A single, irreversible, two-electron reduction occurs at -1.72 V ($i_p^a/i_p^c = 0.3$). The formal potentials for [Ni(cyclam)]²⁺ under the same conditions are 0.67 and -1.70 V.⁹

Like [Ni(cyclam)]²⁺, the dinuclear complex also exists in aqueous solution as a mixture of four-co-ordinate (planar) and six-co-ordinate species with the equilibrium constant being strongly dependent on ionic strength, although there is a substantial difference in the equilibrium constants. The 460 nm absorption assigned to the four-co-ordinate form of the dinuclear complex has $\epsilon = 26 \text{ l mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$, 21 °C) but in 2.5 M NaClO₄ (the highest concentration that could be utilized) it increases to $110 \text{ l mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. This requires that there be $\leq 24\%$ of the four-co-ordinate form in water whereas [Ni(cyclam)]²⁺ ($\epsilon = 64.5 \text{ l mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in 6 M NaCl) is about 70% planar under the same conditions.

We thank a referee for helpful comments.

(Received, 26th November 1980; Com. 1265.)

§ Oxygen atoms of the perchlorate ions were severely disordered; one ion was refined with five oxygen atoms, two of these with unit occupancy and three at 0.667 occupancy each.

¶ The atomic co-ordinates for this work are available on request from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Rd., Cambridge CB2 1EW. Any request should be accompanied by the full literature citation for this communication.

¹ E. K. Barefield, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1972, **11**, 2273.

² E. K. Barefield, F. Wagner, A. W. Herlinger, and A. R. Dahl, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1975, **16**, 220.

³ E. K. Barefield, F. Wagner, and K. D. Hodges, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1976, **15**, 1370.

⁴ B. Bosnich, C. K. Poon, and M. L. Tobe, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1965, **4**, 1102.

⁵ SHELX-76, G. Sheldrick, X-Ray System Report, University Chemical Laboratory, Cambridge, 1976.

⁶ V. L. Goedken and D. H. Busch, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1971, **10**, 2679.

⁷ L. T. Taylor, F. L. Urbach, and D. H. Busch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 1072.

⁸ A. M. Tait and D. H. Busch, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1977, **16**, 966.

⁹ J. B. Flanagan, S. Margel, A. J. Bard, and F. C. Anson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 4248.

¹⁰ J. E. Sutton, P. M. Sutton, and H. Taube, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, **18**, 1017.

¹¹ F. V. Lovecchio, E. S. Gore, and D. H. Busch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1974, **96**, 3109.

¹² A. Anichini, L. Fabbrizzi, P. Paoletti, and R. M. Clay, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1977, **24**, L21.